F. No. Y-18/2/2018-BFL

Government of India
Ministry of Food Processing Industries
Panchsheel Bhawn, August Kranti Marg
New Delhi-110049

Date: 05.04.2018

IMPORTANT NOTICE

With reference to the Expression of Interest (EoI) dated 24.08.2018 inviting proposals for assistance under the Scheme for Creation of Backward & Forward Linkages, this Ministry has approved 23 proposals in order of merit for sanction out of 55 proposals received and appraised. List of proposals approved for sanction is given at Annexure I. The list of rejected proposals along with reason of rejection is given at Annexure II.

The sanction of the 23 proposals is subject to the Scheme guidelines and the terms and conditions laid down in the letters to be issued by the Ministry in respect of each proposal.

Under Secretary to Government of India

Tel: 011-26406584

Email: joshi.girindra@nic.in

<u> Annexure – I</u>

S. No.	Project/ Applicant	Type of Entity	Sector	District/ State
1.	Milk Mantra Dairy Private Limited	Pvt/Pub Ltd	Milk and Milk Products	Jagatsinghapur, Odisha
2.	Sangamner Taluka Tomato And Mango Processing Farmers Producer Company Ltd	FPC/FPO	Fruits and Vegetable	Ahmednagar, Maharashtra
3.	Kisankrupa Agro Producer Company Limited	FPC/FPO	Milk and Milk Products	Parbhani, Maharashtra
4.	Savla Foods And Cold Storage Pvt Ltd	Pvt/Pub Ltd	Fruits and Vegetable	Thane, Maharashtra
5.	Indapur Dairy And Milk Products Limited	Pvt/Pub Ltd	Milk and Milk Products	Pune, Maharashtra
6.	Malganga Milk and Agro Products Pvt Ltd	Pvt/Pub Ltd	Milk and Milk Products	Ahmednagar, Maharashtra
7.	Reliable Cold Storage	Partnership	Fruits and Vegetable	Pune, Maharashtra
8.	Mahindra Agri Solutions Ltd	Pvt/Pub Ltd	Fruits and Vegetable	Nashik, Maharashtra
9.	Sunrise Milk Plant	Proprietorship	Milk and Milk Products	Alwar, Rajasthan
10.	Manmandir Milk and Agro Products Private Limited	Pvt/Pub Ltd	Milk and Milk Products	Sangli, Maharashtra
11.	Panchmahal District Cooperative Milk Producers Union Limited	Cooperative	Milk and Milk Products	PanchMahal, Gujarat
12.	Disha Dairy Products	Proprietorship	Milk and Milk Products	Satara Maharashtra
13.	Shakti Cashew Industries	Proprietorship	Horticulture (cashew)	Rajkot, Gujarat
14.	Pragati Milk Products Private Limited	Pvt/Pub Ltd	Milk and Milk Products	Bargarh, Odisha

15.	M/s Agribusiness	Proprietorship	Fruits and	Animalum Tamail Mad
10.	, ,	1 Topffetorship		Ariyalur, Tamil Nadu
	Corporation		Vegetable	
16.	M/s. Venkateshwara	Partnership	Milk and	Nalgonda, Telangana
	Dairy Products		Milk	
			Products	
17.	M/s Basweshwar	Proprietorship	Fruits and	Belgaum, Karnataka
	Industry	_	Vegetable	
18.	M/s Goverdhan	Proprietorship	Milk and	Firozpur, Punjab
	Industries		Milk	
			Products	
19.	SUS Agro Foods India	Private	Milk and	Kathua, Jammu &
	Private Limited	Limited	Milk	Kashmir
			Products	
20.	M/s Rai Poultries	Partnership	Poultry	Amritsar, Punjab
				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
21.	Muralya Dairy	Private	Milk and	Thiruvananthapuram,
	Products Private	Limited	Milk	Kerala
	Limited		Products	
22.	Prayan Dairy Farms	Private	Milk and	Chennai, Tamil Nadu
	Pvt Ltd	Limited	Milk	
			Products	
23.	M.Venkadasubbu	Proprietorship	Fruits and	Vellore, Tamil Nadu
			Vegetable	, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i
			0	



<u> Annexure - II</u>

S. No.	Project/	Type of	Sector	District/ State	Reason of rejection
	Applicant	entity			,
1.	Surat District Co-	Cooperati	Milk and	Surat,	The proposed supply chain
	op. Milk	ve	Milk	Gujarat	components are not aligned to
	Producers' Union		Products		the objectives of the Scheme as
	Ltd.				the proposal doesn't create any
					backward linkages at the farm
					level.
2.	Health Food	Cooperati	Fruits and	Cuttack, Odisha	The applicant has proposed an
	Products Pvt Ltd	ve	Vegetable		ice cream plant which is not
					aligned to the objectives of the
					Scheme of Creation of Backward
					& Forward Linkages and also,
					the applicant has not submitted
					the bank appraisal, therefore the
					project is not eligible under the
					Scheme as per the operational
					guidelines.
3,	Manpasand	Pvt. /	Fruits and	Vadodara,	The envisaged project only
	Beverages	public	Vegetable	Gujarat	involves setting up of
	Limited	Ltd.			refrigerated display cabinets at
					retail outlets along with the
					transport infrastructure. The
					project does not include any
					component for backward
					linkage. Thus, the proposed
					supply chain components are
					not aligned to the objectives of
					the Scheme.
4.	Premium	Partnersh	Horticultur	Kothariya,	Bank Appraisal report not
	Enterprise	ip	e (cashew)	Rajkot, Gujarat	submitted.
5.	Agrowon Smart	Pvt. /	Fruits and	Pune,	Documents not submitted:
	Village Private	public	Vegetable	Maharashtra	Term sanction letter and
	Limited	Ltd.			Bank Appraisal Note
					CE and CA Certificates
6.	Madhuban	Pvt. /	Fruits and	Mahesana,	Non-submission of valid Term
	Agristorage Pvt.	public	Vegetable	Gujarat	Loan Sanction Letter and Bank

ly de from

	Ltd.	Ltd.			Appraisal Report.
7.	Shalu Agro Foods	Partnersh	Milk and	Ajmer,	The supply chain components
		ip	Milk	Rajasthan	proposed by the applicant are
			Products		mainly centered on a Central
					Dairy Plant which is not aligned
					to the objectives of the Scheme.
					Further there are no linkages
				-	proposed in the proposal.
8.	Sumul Dairy,	Cooperati	Milk and	Surat, Gujarat	The proposal is ineligible as the
	Surat	ve	Milk		same applicant has submitted
			Products		another proposal under the
					Scheme. Also, the bank appraisal
					report submitted by the
					promoter is not specifically
					related to the project.
					4
9.	Phoenix Agro	FPC/FPO	Milk and	Sangli,	The applicant has proposed a
	Products		Milk	Maharashtra	milk processing facility which is
	Producer		Products		not aligned to the objectives of
	Company Ltd.				the Scheme of Creation
					Backward and Forward
					Linkages. Also, applicant has not
					submitted the bank appraisal for
10.	Naturi Daime	Proprieto	Milk and	Chittorgarh,	the same. The proposal is ineligible as the
10.	Natraj Dairy	rship	Milk	Rajasthan	promoter's equity is only
		rsinp	Products	Kajasulali	10.88% of total project cost
			roducts		which is less than 20% as
					required for eligibility under the
					Scheme Guidelines.
11.	Kamboliwala	Partnersh	Milk and	Bharuch,	Non-submission of valid Bank
	dairy	i	Milk	Gujarat	Appraisal Report.
		р	Products		
12.	Ashish and	Proprieto	Spices		Non-submission of valid Bank
	Company	rship		Chittorgarh,	Appraisal Report.
				Rajasthan	
13.	Green Vegee	Pvt. /	Fruits and	Thane,	The proposed supply chain
				e for	

1	Exports	public	Vegetable	Maharashtra	components are not aligned to			
	Exports	Ltd.	Vegetable	Manarasitia	the objectives of the Scheme as			
	l	Ltu.			the proposal doesn't create any			
					backward linkages at the farm			
					level.			
14.	Madhur	LLP	Milk and	Ahmednagar,	As per IMAC decision, not more			
	Nourishment		Milk Products	Maharashtra	than 2 projects (belonging to			
	Products		Products		different sectors) may be			
					approved from a single district.			
					Accordingly, the two highest			
					scoring projects from the			
					concerned district from different			
					sectors were approved for			
					assistance by the IMAC, whereas			
					this project was rejected owing			
					. ,			
					to its lower score.			
15.	Fresh N Natural Dairy Farms	Pvt/Pub Ltd	Milk and Milk	Ahmednagar, Maharashtra	As per IMAC decision, not more			
	Dairy Farms Private Limited	Ltu	Products	Manarashua	than 2 projects (belonging to			
					different sectors) may be			
					approved from a single district.			
	,				Accordingly, the two highest			
					scoring projects from the			
					concerned district from different			
					sectors were approved for			
					assistance by the IMAC, whereas			
					this project was rejected owing			
)	to its lower score.			
16.	Parag Milk Foods	Pvt/Pub	Milk and	Pune,	As per IMAC decision, not more			
	Limited	Ltd	Milk	Maharashtra	than 2 projects (belonging to			
			Products		different sectors) may be			
					approved from a single district.			
					Accordingly, the two highest			
					scoring projects from the			
					concerned district from different			
					sectors were approved for			
					assistance by the IMAC, whereas			
					this project was rejected owing			
					to its lower score.			
17.	Chopde Farms	LLP	Fruits and	Nashik,	As per IMAC decision, not more			
	li di							
			1					
			\sim					

	4 1D		Vagetable	Maharashtra	than 2 projects (belonging to
	And Exports LLP		Vegetable	Maharashtra	than 2 projects (belonging to different sectors) may be approved from a single district. Accordingly, the higher scoring project from the same sector from the concerned district from different sectors was approved for assistance by the IMAC, whereas this project was
					rejected owing to its lower score.
18.	DJ Exports Private Ltd.	Pvt/Pub Ltd	Fruits and Vegetable	Pune, Maharashtra	As per IMAC decision, not more than 2 projects (different sectors) may be approved from a single district. Accordingly, the two highest scoring projects from the concerned district from different sectors were approved for assistance by the IMAC, whereas this project was rejected owing to its lower score.
19.	Pratibha Krushi Prakriya Ltd.	Pvt/Pub Ltd	Milk and Milk Products	Ahmednagar, Maharashtra	As per IMAC decision, not more than 2 projects (belonging to different sectors) may be approved from a single district. Accordingly, the two highest scoring projects from the concerned district were approved for assistance by the IMAC, whereas this project was rejected owing to its lower score.
20.	Shramik Milk & Food Processing Industry		Milk and Milk Products	Ahmednagar, Maharashtra	As per IMAC decision, not more than 2 projects (belonging to different sectors) may be approved from a single district. Accordingly, the two highest scoring projects from the

					concerned district were
					approved for assistance by the
					IMAC, whereas this project was
					rejected owing to its lower
					score.
21.	Galaxy fresh cold	Proprieto	Fruits and	Pune,	As per IMAC decision, not more
	storage	rship	Vegetable	Maharashtra	than 2 projects (belonging to
					different sectors) may be
					approved from a single district.
					Accordingly, the two highest
					scoring projects from the
					concerned district were
					approved for assistance by the
					IMAC, whereas this project was
					rejected owing to its lower
					score.
				D 1 1'	score.
22.	Shri Kshethra	Trust	RTC/RTE	Dakshina	
	Dharmasthala			Kannada,	The applicant has proposed to
	Rural			Karnataka	set up a new processing unit for
	Development				Millets and Millet products
	Project				which is not aligned to the
					objectives of the Scheme.
23.	M/s MS Frozen	Proprieto	Horticultur	New Delhi	There is no farm gate
	Food Carriers	rship	e, Dairy,		component proposed by the
			Poultry		applicant which is the main
					purpose of the scheme.
24.	PRS Milk	Private	Milk and	Fatehgarh Sahib,	The applicant has proposed to
	Products Pvt	Limited	Milk	Punjab	set up a new processing unit for
	Ltd		Products		milk processing. This does not fit
					into the BFL scheme guidelines
25.	Ch Ved Ram	Farmer	Milk and	Bulandshahr, UP	The applicant has submitted an
	Kisan Milk	Producer	Milk		in principle bank sanction letter
	Producer	Company	Products		for the proposed project. As per
	Company	1			clause no. 11.2 (a) final term
	Company				loan sanction letter is required
					for eligibility of the project.
					Therefore the project is
				Xv -	ineligible for assistance under
		N	1	1	the Scheme
		L L			

26.	RAG Creative	Private	Fruits and	Panipat, Haryana	The applicant has submitted an
20.	Line Pvt. Ltd	Limited	Vegetable		in principle bank sanction letter
					for the proposed project. As per
			7		clause no. 11.2 (a) final term
					loan sanction letter is required
					for eligibility of the project.
					Therefore the project is
					ineligible for assistance under
				II.	the Scheme
27.	M/s Panchwati	Proprieto	Fruits and	Haridwar,	The applicant has submitted an
	Nutrients	rship	Vegetable	Uttarakhand	unsigned and unstamped bank
	•		_		sanction letter from PNB bank
					and has not submitted detailed
					bank appraisal note for the
					proposed project.
28.	Fruition Agri	Partnersh	Fruits and	Kolar,	The applicant has not submitted
201	Cold Storages	ip	Vegetable	Karnataka	the bank appraisal note from
	30.4 30014.8-1				any scheduled bank.
					The equity contribution of the
					applicant is less than 20% of the
					total project cost.
29.	Kader	Private	Fishery	Tuticorin,	The equity contribution of the
	Investment	Limited		Tamil nadu	applicant is less than 20% of the
	and Trading				total project cost as required for
	Company				eligibility under the Scheme.
	Private				
	Limited				
30.	Lion Dates	Private	Fruits and	Chennai, Tamil	The project has no Backward-
	Impex Private	Limited	Vegetable	Nadu	Forward linkage components.
	Limited				Also, applicant has neither
					submitted the DPR nor any other
					documents apart from term loan
					sanction letter.
31.	RG Kasat	Private	Agricultu	Bagalkot,	The applicant has proposed the
	Industries	Limited	re	Karnataka	processing unit for Maize and
	Private Limited				maize products. As no farm gate
				· who	infrastructure has been
1				1//	proposed this does not fit into

					the BFL scheme guidelines.
32.	Innovagro	Limited	Fruits and	Bengaluru,	The applicant has proposed to
	Foods LLP	Liability	Vegetable	Karnataka	set up a new processing unit for
		Partnersh			fruits and vegetables processing
		ip			due to which the proposal does
					not fit into the BFL scheme
			الرئز ا		guidelines

(4)